

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430) Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.outop.op/

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/599,126	09/20/2006	Maria Pilar de Salazar Vinas	06127	4568	
23338 DENNISON, 5	7590 02/25/200 SCHULTZ & MACDO		EXAMINER		
1727 KING STREET SUITE 105 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			PATEL, YOGESH P		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	.,		3732		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/25/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	10/599,126	DE SALAZAR VINAS, MARIA PILAR					
,	Examiner	Art Unit					
	YOGESH PATEL	3732					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) YOGESH PATEL. (3)1. Schultz.							
(2) <u>Lewis, Ralph</u> . (4)							
Date of Interview: 20 February 2009.							
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☑ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description:							
Claim(s) discussed: 11.							
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Casev</u> .							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) \boxtimes was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. <u>Prior art by Casev was discussed. The Examiner agreed to give patentable weight to a bracket system as in claim 11. Further, it was advised to use proper language (e.g. adapted to) to avoid claiming human as part of the system. Further, it was suggested to use "thing element" instead of "tixing means" in claim 11.</u>							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
/YOGESH PATEL/ Examiner, Art Unit 3732							
LLS Patent and Trademark Office							